arXiv:1403.1182v1 [math.CO] 5 Mar 2014

On the complexity of deciding whether the regular number is at most two

Ali Dehghan[a], Mohammad-Reza Sadeghi[a], Arash Ahadi[b]

[a] *Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Amirkabir University of Technology, Tehran, Iran*
[b] *Department of Mathematical Sciences, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran* *

Abstract

The *regular number* of a graph G denoted by $reg(G)$ is the minimum number of subsets into which the edge set of G can be partitioned so that the subgraph induced by each subset is regular. In this work we answer to the problem posed as an open problem in A. Ganesan et al. (2012) [3] about the complexity of determining the regular number of graphs. We show that computation of the regular number for connected bipartite graphs is **NP**-hard. Furthermore, we show that, determining whether $reg(G) = 2$ for a given connected 3-colorable graph G is **NP**-complete. Also, we prove that a new variant of the Monotone Not-All-Equal 3-Sat problem is **NP**-complete.

Key words: Regular number; Computational Complexity; Edge-partition problems; Not-All-Equal 3-Sat.
Subject classification: 05C15, 05C20, 68Q25

1 Introduction

All graphs considered here are finite, undirected and loopless. For every $v \in V(G)$, $d(v)$ denotes the degree of v and for a natural number k, a graph G is called a *k-regular graph* if $d(v) = k$, for each $v \in V(G)$. Also, we denote the maximum degree of G by $\Delta(G)$. We follow [13] for terminology and notation where they are not defined here.

In 1981, Holyer [5] considered the computational complexity of edge-partition problems and proved that for each fixed $n \geq 3$ it is **NP**-complete to determine whether an

* *E-mail addresses*: ali_dehghan16@aut.ac.ir, msadeghi@aut.ac.ir, arash_ahadi@mehr.sharif.edu.

arbitrary graph can be edge-partitioned into subgraphs isomorphic to the complete graph K_n. Holyer purposed the following problem and conjectured that for every graph H with more than two edges the following problem is **NP**-complete.

The Holyer Problem: Given a fixed graph H, can the edge set of an instance graph G be partitioned into subsets inducing graphs isomorphic to H?

Afterwards, the complexity of edge-partition problems have been studied extensively by several authors, for instance see [1, 2, 5, 11]. Dor et al. proved that the Holyer problem is **NP**-complete whenever H has a connected component with at least 3 edges [2]. Afterwards, Bryś et al. in [1] completely solved the Holyer problem; they proved that, if a graph H does not have a component with at least 3 edges then the problem of deciding if the edge set of an instance graph G can be partitioned into subsets inducing graphs isomorphic to H is polynomial time solvable. Nowadays, computational complexity of edge decomposition problems is a well-studied area of graph theory.

If we consider the Holyer problem for a family $\mathcal{Q}$ of graphs instead of H as a fixed graph then, we can find some interesting problems. For a family $\mathcal{Q}$ of graphs, a $\mathcal{Q}$-decomposition of a graph G is a partition of the edge set of G into subgraphs isomorphic to members of $\mathcal{Q}$. Problems of $\mathcal{Q}$-decomposition of graphs have received a considerable attention, for example, Holyer proved that it is **NP**-hard to edge-partition a graph into the minimum number of complete subgraphs [5]. For more examples see [9, 10].

Consider the family of regular graphs, the edge set of every graph can be partitioned such that the subgraph induced by each subset is regular. In 2001, Kulli et al. introduced an interesting parameter for the edge-partition of a graph [7]. The *regular number* of a graph G denoted by $reg(G)$ is the minimum number of subsets into which the edge set of G can be partitioned so that the subgraph induced by each subset is regular. Nonempty subsets $E_1, \ldots, E_r$ of E are said to form a regular partition of G if the subgraph induced by each subset is regular.

Example 1 The zebra B_n is a graph defined recursively. The zebra B_0 consists of a copy of K_1. In order to define B_{n+1}, consider a copy of B_n and call it I_n, Also consider $|V(B_n)| = 3^n$ new isolated vertices and call them J_n. Now put 2^n distinct prefect matchings between I_n and J_n call the resulting graph B'_n. Let $B_{n+1} = B'_n \cup B_n$. The set of degrees of B_n is $\{0, 1, 2, \cdots, 2^n - 1\}$ and it is easy to see that $reg(B_n) = n$.

The edge chromatic number of a graph denoted by $\chi'(G)$ is the minimum size of a partition of the edge set into 1-regular subgraphs. By Vizing's theorem [12], the edge chromatic number of a graph G is equal to either $\Delta(G)$ or $\Delta(G) + 1$. Therefore the

regular number problem is a generalization for the edge chromatic number and we have the following.

$$reg(G) \leq \chi'(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1. \tag{1}$$

In this work we give the answer to the problem posed as an open problem in A. Ganesan et al. [3] about the complexity of determining the regular number of a graph. Determining the computational complexity of the regular number for disconnected graphs is a very easy problem. In the following we first consider disconnected graphs and then we focus on the complexity boundary of regular number problem for connected graphs.

Remark 1 It was shown that it is **NP**-hard to determine the edge chromatic number of an r-regular graph; for any $r \geq 3$ [8]. For a given r-regular graph G with $r \geq 3$, consider the new graph $G' = G \cup K_{1,r}$. Clearly, $reg(G') = \Delta(G')$ if and only if $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$. Therefore, for every $k \geq 3$, it is **NP**-complete to decide whether $reg(G) = k$ for a given disconnected graph G.

Corollary 1 *For every $k \geq 3$, it is* **NP***-complete to decide whether $reg(G) = k$ for a given disconnected graph G.*

In every regular partition of a tree T, each part is 1-regular, so $reg(T) = \Delta(T) = \chi'(G)$ [3]. Designing an algorithm to decompose a given bipartite graph into the minimum number of regular subgraphs was posed as a problem in [3]. We show that computation of the regular number is **NP**-hard for connected bipartite graphs.

Theorem 1 *Computation of the regular number for connected bipartite graphs is* **NP***-hard.*

For a connected graph G, $reg(G) = 1$, if and only if G is regular. We consider the complexity of deciding whether $reg(G) = 2$ for a given graph G. We prove that if $\{d(v) : v \in V(G)\} = \{k, k'\}$, where k and k' are different natural numbers, then computation of the regular number is **NP**-hard even if the graph is 3-colorable.

Theorem 2 *Determining whether $reg(G) = 2$ for a given connected 3-colorable graph G is* **NP***-complete.*

It was asked in [3] to determine whether $reg(G) \leq \Delta(G)$ holds for all connected graphs G. We show that not only there exists a counterexample for the above bound but also for a given connected graph G decide whether $reg(G) = \Delta(G) + 1$ is **NP**-complete.

Theorem 3 *Determining whether $reg(G) \leq \Delta(G)$ for a given connected graph G is* **NP***-complete.*

2 NP-Completeness

First, we show that computation of the regular number is **NP**-hard for connected bipartite graphs. It is shown that *3-Partition* is **NP**-complete in the strong sense [4].

3-Partition.
INSTANCE: A positive integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $3n$ positive integers $a_1, \ldots, a_{3n} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $k/4 < a_i < k/2$ for each $1 \leq i \leq 3n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{3n} a_i = nk$.
QUESTION: Can $\{a_1, \ldots, a_{3n}\}$ be partitioned into n disjoint sets $A_1, \cdots, A_n$ such that, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\sum_{a \in A_i} a = k$?

Proof of Theorem 1. We reduce *3-Partition* to our problem in polynomial time. For an instance $A =< a_1, \ldots, a_{3n} >$ and number k, consider $3n$ copies of complete bipartite graph $K_{2k,2k-1}$ and denote them by $K^{(1)}, \cdots, K^{(3n)}$. Also, denote the part of size $2k$ of $K^{(i)}$, by $X^{(i)}$. Next, consider three new vertices u, v and w. For every i, $1 \leq i \leq 3n$, join $2a_i$ vertices of $X^{(i)}$ to u. From other vertices of $X^{(i)}$, join $k - a_i$ vertices of $X^{(i)}$ to v and finally join $k - a_i$ remaining vertices of $X^{(i)}$ to w. Call the set of these $2k$ edges $E^{(i)}$. Also, call the resulting graph $G = G_{A,k}$. We show that $reg(G) \leq n$ if and only if *3-Partition* has a true answer. Since the degrees of u, v and w are $2kn$ and the degrees of all other vertices is $2k$, so in every regular partition $G_1, \cdots, G_t$ of G, each G_i is at most $(2k)$-regular, therefore, $reg(G) \geq n$.

First, assume that $reg(G) = n$ and $G_1, \cdots, G_n$ is a regular partition of G such that G_i is (r_i)-regular. Since in every regular partition $G_1, \cdots, G_t$ of G, each G_i is at most $(2k)$-regular, so $r_1 = \cdots = r_n = 2k$. Hence, for every i, $1 \leq i \leq 3n$, all the edges of $E^{(i)}$ and $K^{(i)}$ are in one of the $G_1, \cdots, G_n$. Consequently, for every j, we have $\sum_{i:K^{(i)} \subseteq G_j} 2a_i = 2k$. So $\{\{a_i : K^{(i)} \subseteq G_j\} : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ is an appropriate partition for $A =< a_1, \ldots, a_{3n} >$. On the other hand, if $A_1, \cdots, A_n$ is a partition for A such that $\sum_{a \in A_i} a = k$, then for every j, let G_j be the induced subgraph on $\{u, v, w\} \bigcup_{i:a_i \in A_j} K^{(i)}$. So $reg(G) \leq n$. □

Proof of Theorem 2. Our proof consist of two steps. In the first step we prove that a new variant of the Monotone Not-All-Equal 3-Sat problem is **NP**-complete, then in the step 2 we reduce it to our problem in polynomial time.

Step 1. Let Υ be a 3-SAT formula with the set of clauses C and the set of variables X. It is shown that the following problem is **NP**-complete [4].

Monotone Not-All-Equal 3-Sat.
INSTANCE: Set X of variables, collection C of clauses over X such that each clause $c \in C$ has $\mid c \mid = 3$ and there is no negation in the formula.
QUESTION: Is there a truth assignment for X such that each clause in C has at least one true literal and at least one false literal?

We show that this problem remains **NP**-complete when every variable has exactly three occurrences and every clause contains two or three variables.

Cubic Monotone NAE (2,3)-Sat.
INSTANCE: Set X of variables, collection C of clauses over X such that each clause $c \in C$ has $\mid c \mid \in \{2, 3\}$, every variable appears in exactly three clauses and there is no negation in the formula.
QUESTION: Is there a truth assignment for X such that each clause in C has at least one true literal and at least one false literal?



Figure 1: The chain Ch_t forces black variables to be equal.

Consider the graph Ch_t which is shown in Figure 1. Every circle is a variable, every square is a clause and the clause c contains the variable x if and only if they are connected in the graph. Also, every variable occurs at most three times and each clause contains two or three variables. The chain Ch_t forces black variables to be equal.

Let Ψ be an instance of *Monotone NAE 3-Sat.* For each variable v that occurs in $k > 3$ clauses, we replace it with the chain Ch_{k-2}. Also, for every variable v that occurs in 2 clauses, create two new variables v_1 and v_2, next add three clauses $(v_1 \vee v_2)$, $(v_1 \vee v_2)$ and $(v_1 \vee v_2 \vee v)$. For every variable v that occurs in one clause, perform a similar method. Therefore, we obtain a formula Φ which is an instance of *Cubic Monotone NAE (2,3)-Sat*

and also Φ has an NAE truth assignment if and only if Ψ has an NAE truth assignment.

Step 2. Now we reduce *Cubic Monotone NAE (2,3)-Sat* to our problem in polynomial time. Consider an instance Φ, we transform this into a graph G_Φ such that $reg(G_\Phi) = 2$ if and only if Φ has an NAE truth assignment. We use two gadgets H_c and I_c which are shown in Figure 2. The graph G_Φ has a copy of H_c for each clause $c \in C$ with $\mid c \mid = 3$, a copy of I_c for each clause $c \in C$ with $\mid c \mid = 2$ and an vertex x for each variable $x \in X$. For each clause $c = y \vee z \vee w$, where $y, w, z \in X$ add the edges $u_c y$, $u_c z$ and $u_c w$. Also, for each clause $c = y \vee z$, where $y, w \in X$ add the edges $v_c y$ and $v_c z$. The degree of every vertex in G is 3 or 6 and G_Φ is 3-colorable.



Figure 2: The two gadgets H_c and I_c. I_c is on the right hand side of the figure.

First, assume that $reg(G_\Phi) = 2$ and G_1, G_2 is a regular partition of G such that G_i is (r_i)-regular. Since G_Φ has vertices with degrees 3 and 6, so $r_1 = r_2 = 3$. For every $x \in X$, since x has degree 3, so all edges incident with x are in one part. For every $x \in X$, if all edges incident with x are in G_1, put $\Gamma(x) = true$ and if all edges incident with x are in G_2, put $\Gamma(x) = false$. According to the construction of H_c and I_c, in each of them the black edges are in one part and the gray edges are in another part. Therefore, for every clause $c = y \vee z \vee w$, at most two of the three edges $u_c y$, $u_c z$ and $u_c w$ are in G_1. Also, at most two of the three edges $u_c y$, $u_c z$ and $u_c w$ are in G_2. By a similar argument, for every clause $c = y \vee z$, exactly one of the two edges $v_c y$ and $v_c z$ is in G_1. Hence, Γ is an NAE satisfying assignment. On the other hand, suppose that Φ is NAE satisfiable with the satisfying assignment $\Gamma : X \to \{true, false\}$. For every variable $x \in X$, put all edges incident with x in G_1 if and only if $\Gamma(x) = true$, also for every subgraph I_c, put the black edges in G_1 and the gray edges in G_2. Finally, for every clause $c = y \vee z \vee w$, if c has two true literals, in the subgraph H_c, put the gray edges in G_1 and the black edges in G_2 and otherwise put the black edges in G_1 and the gray edges in G_2. This completes the proof.

□

A. Ganesan et al. in [3] asked to determine whether $reg(G) \leq \Delta(G)$ holds for all connected graphs G. We show that not only there exists a counterexample for the above bound but also for a given connected graph G deciding whether $reg(G) = \Delta(G) + 1$ is **NP**-complete.

Proof of Theorem 3. For a cubic graph G, define $\sigma(G)$ to be the minimum number such that there exists a 4-edge-coloring of G with $\sigma(G)$ edges assigned the fourth color. Kochol et al. proved that for a given cyclically 6-edge-connected 3-regular graph G approximating $\sigma(G)$ with an error $O(n^{1-\varepsilon})$ is **NP**-hard, where n denotes the number of vertices of the graph G and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ is a constant [6]. In other words, they proved that the problem to decide whether $\sigma(G) \in [0, n^{1-\varepsilon}]$ is **NP**-complete in the class of cyclically 6-edge-connected cubic graphs (note that a graph is cyclically k-edge-connected if deleting fewer than k edges does not result in a graph having at least two components containing cycles).

In fact, kochol et al. proved that, the problem to decide whether a cyclically 6-edge-connected cubic graph has a 3-edge-coloring is **NP**-complete and for a given cyclically 6-edge-connected cubic graph H with m vertices, in polynomial time they construct a graph G which is cyclically 6-edge connected cubic graph with n vertices such that:

(I): H has a 3-edge-coloring if and only if G has 3-edge-coloring.
(II): If G is not 3-edge-colorable, then $\sigma(G) > n^{1-\varepsilon}$.

Therefore, Kochol et al. construct a family of cubic graphs such that every graph G in this family has a 3-edge-coloring or $\sigma(G) > n^{1-\varepsilon}$, where n is the number of vertices of G [6]. Also, they show that determining the 3-edge-colorability in this family is **NP**-complete [6]. Consequently, by this reduction the following promise problem is **NP**-complete.

Problem Θ.
INSTANCE: A cyclically 6-edge-connected cubic graph G.
QUESTION: Is $\chi'(G) \leq 3$?
PROMISE: $\sigma(G) \neq 1$.

We reduce Problem Θ to our problem, let G be a given cyclically 6-edge-connected 3-regular graph and $e = uv \in E(G)$ be an arbitrary edge, remove e from $E(G)$ and add three vertices v', v'' and v''' to $V(G)$; join v' to v,v'' and v'''. Call the resulting graph G'. Since $\sigma(G) \neq 1$, therefore if G is not 3-edge-colorable then, $G \setminus e$ is also not 3-edge-colorable, so if G is not 3-edge-colorable, then G' is not 3-edge-colorable. Consequently, since $d(v'') = d(v''') = 1$ and $d(v') = 3$ we have $reg(G') = 3$ if and only if $\sigma(G) = 0$. This

completes the proof. □

3 Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her useful comments and suggestions, which helped to improve the presentation of this paper.

References

[1] Krzysztof Bryś and Zbigniew Lonc. Polynomial cases of graph decomposition: a complete solution of Holyer's problem. *Discrete Math.*, 309(6):1294–1326, 2009.

[2] Dorit Dor and Michael Tarsi. Graph decomposition is NP-complete: a complete proof of Holyer's conjecture. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 26(4):1166–1187, 1997.

[3] Ashwin Ganesan and Radha R. Iyer. The regular number of a graph. *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography*, 15(2 -3):149–157, 2012.

[4] M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson. *Computers and intractability: A guide to the theory of NP-completeness*. W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1979.

[5] Ian Holyer. The NP-completeness of some edge-partition problems. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 10(4):713–717, 1981.

[6] Martin Kochol, Nad'a Krivoňáková, Silvia Smejová, and Katarína Šranková. Complexity of approximation of 3-edge-coloring of graphs. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 108(4):238–241, 2008.

[7] V. R. Kulli, B. Janakiram, and R. R. Iyer. Regular number of a graph. *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography*, 4(1):57–64, 2001.

[8] Daniel Leven and Zvi Galil. NP-completeness of finding the chromatic index of regular graphs. *J. Algorithms*, 4(1):35–44, 1983.

[9] Zbigniew Lonc. On the complexity of some edge-partition problems for graphs. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 70(2):177–183, 1996.

[10] B. Péroche. Complexity of the linear arboricity of a graph. *RAIRO Rech. Opér.*, 16(2):125–129, 1982(in French).

[11] Michael D. Plummer. Graph factors and factorization: 1985–2003: a survey. *Discrete Math.*, 307(7-8):791–821, 2007.

[12] V. G. Vizing. On an estimate of the chromatic class of a p-graph. *Diskret. Analiz No.*, 3:25–30, 1964.

[13] Douglas B. West. *Introduction to graph theory.* Prentice Hall Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ, 1996.